

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/666,754	09/18/2003	Cong Li	MS1-1622US	8005	
22801	7590 06/07/2006		EXAMINER		
LEE & HAYES PLLC			HIRL, JOSEPH P		
SPOKANE, V	SIDE AVENUE SUITE 50 VA 99201	U	ART UNIT PAPER NUM		
•			2129		
			DATE MAILED: 06/07/2000	DATE MAILED: 06/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Andieus Occurrence	10/666,754	LI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
TI MANUAL DE COMPANIA	Joseph P. Hirl	2129
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the e	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Section 2a) This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise 2b 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-44 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex 	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	л П.,	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>November 17, 2003</u>. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-44 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 for nonstatutory subject matter. The computer system must set forth a practical application of § 101 judicial exception to produce a real-world result. Benson, 409 U.S. at 71-72, 175 USPQ at 676-77. The invention is ineligible because it has not been limited to a substantial practical application. A method that trains a data classifier based on the set of vectors and the associated categories is useless in a real world situation.

In determining whether the claim is for a "practical application," the focus is not on whether the steps taken to achieve a particular result are useful, tangible, and concrete, but rather that the final result achieved by the claimed invention is useful, tangible and concrete. If the claim is directed to a practical application of the § 101 judicial exceptions producing a result tied to the physical world that does not preempt the judicial exception, then the claim meets the statutory requirement of 35 U.S. C. § 101.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,754 Page 3

Art Unit: 2129

The phrases "obtaining a set of training data having associated summaries," "Information related to skills" and "mapping, using the key feature generation model, the other set of training data to a set of vectors" are not clear in its purpose or scope.

The invention must be for a practical application and either:

- 1). specify transforming (physical thing article) or
- 2). have the Final Result (not the steps) achieve or produce a useful (specific, substantial and credible), concrete (substantially repeatable / non unpredictable), and tangible (real world / non abstract) result (tangibility is the opposite of abstractness).

A claim that is so broad that it reads on both statutory and non-statutory subject matter, must be amended, and if the specification discloses a practical application but the claim is broader than the disclosure such that it does not require the practical application, then the claim must be amended.

Claims, that obtain another set of training data, receive data to be classified, and input the obtained vector are not statutory.

Please see the "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility published on November 22, 2005 in the Official Gazette" for related guidance.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,754 Page 4

Art Unit: 2129

Conclusion

3. The prior art of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Radev et al, Evaluation of Text Summarization in a Cross-lingual Information
 Retrieval Framework
- Fei et al, Question Classification for E-learning by Artificial Neural Network
- Shanahan, USPubN 2003/0078899
- Grefenstette, USPubN 2003/0069877
- Ferguson et al, USPN 7,020,642
- Donovan et al, USPubN 2004/0024721
- Adar et al, USPubN 2004/0024719
- Talbot et al, USPubN 2004/0019575
- Lienhart et al, USPubN 2004/0015462
- 4. Claims 1-44 are rejected.

Correspondence Information

Any inquiry concerning this information or related to the subject disclosure should be directed to the Primary Examiner, Joseph P. Hirl, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3685. The Examiner can be reached on Monday – Thursday from 6:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, David R. Vincent can be reached at (571) 272-3080.

Art Unit: 2129

Any response to this office action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks.

Washington, D. C. 20231;

Hand delivered to:

Receptionist,

Customer Service Window,

Randolph Building,

401 Dulany Street,

Alexandria, Virginia 22313,

(located on the first floor of the south side of the Randolph Building);

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300 (for formal communications intended for entry.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have any questions on access to Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Genter (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

Jøseph P. Hirl Primary Examiner

May 18, 2006